

## DENMEAD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

### Meeting Notes – Meeting of the Steering Group

<i>Date of Meeting</i>	Thursday 26 April 2012 at 7.00pm in the Old School
<i>Present:</i>	Cllr Neil Lander-Brinkley (NLB) Cllr Kevin Andreoli (KA) Cllr Felicity Hull (FH) John Knight (JK) Peter Ambrose (PA) David Griffiths (DG) Steve Lincoln (SL) Patricia Stallard (PS)
<i>Notes taken by</i>	Tony Daniells (TD), Clerk to Denmead Parish Council
<i>Next Meeting:</i>	<b>Forum:</b> Thursday 31 May at 7.00 in the Ashling Pavilion <b>Steering Group:</b> Thursday 7 June 2012 at 7.00pm in The Old School

---

#### 009/12NPSG Welcome and Apologies

NLB welcomed everyone to the meeting. Apologies had been received from Gren Phillips, Brendan Gibbs and Jenny Nell.

#### 010/12NPSG Notes of the last meeting

Minute 003/12NPSG (ii) should record that Peter Ambrose also attended the CPRE meeting. Minute 006/12NPSG (iii) after a discussion, the minute would be changed to record that discussions covered concerns with Local Gaps, the Core Strategy and Countryside Policies. The notes were then otherwise accepted.

#### 011/12NPSG Update from the wider Frontrunner Project (SL & NLB)

- i. Jenny Nell had a meeting scheduled with the Planning Inspectorate to discuss the Core Strategy and its adherence to the NPPF. The SG also discussed the likelihood of challenges to the NPPF.
- ii. Dawlish Neighbourhood Plan was being examined this week. Frontrunners would be awaiting the outcome to see what lessons could be learnt. There was discussion on how this Neighbourhood Plan had preceded the Core Strategy. A page on the Teignbridge District Council's website listed supplementary documents in support of the Neighbourhood Plan.
- iii. JN and NLB had presented to the RTPi. A legal counsel at that meeting had spoken of the need for co-operation with neighbouring authorities but the implications of this were unknown. NLB had spoken with a representative of DCLG at a meeting at Cowdray Park. He confirmed that Government had funding of £50m to pay for independent reviews and referendums.
- iv. PA had met with Locality at Planning Camp at the Eden Project. Locality had received some DCLG funding to assist Frontrunners. PA had registered an interest and hoped that by submitting this early, it may prove successful.
- v. NLB reported that CPRE had received some funding to assist Frontrunners. KA had attended a WCC Planning Event where the guidance given was to wait until the Core Strategy had been published, and that Denmead were produced a Neighbourhood Plan.

#### 012/12NPSG Review of progress

- i. VDS Work Package – PA reported that this work package was producing a document reflecting parts of the VDS. The work was 80% complete. JN had passed back comments on the document together with useful hints on the Vision document.
- ii. Denmead Gap Work Package – DG would leave the teams document on the table until there was clarity with the NPPF. There was no bottom up input as community engagement was a future work package. This led to discussions on how to engage with the community. JK spoke of the need for the Forum to have its thoughts clear but SL considered that views could be tested before all of the answers were available. DG said he would revisit the document in the light of better information.
- iii. Development Placement Work Package – KA reported that CL and JK had joined the work team to assist. The team had met on Sunday and reworked the assessment criteria based on feedback. The revised criteria had been applied to three of the existing sites in the SHLAA. This had been documented and circulated to all of the working party..

- iv. JN had suggested that it was now worth considering or starting the work package on Commercial and Industrial Property. The document on an economic forecast for the District was recommended reading.

013/12NPSG The Neighbourhood Plan – a working format

NLB advised that no feedback had been received. The length of the document was suggested as 32 pages as this was the length of the VDS, but it was a suggestion only. PA referred to the Sustainability Appraisal document and questioned if some input was missing from the document e.g types of housing needed. NLB referred to three work packages still to started. These being Housing, Commercial and Industrial Property and Open Space.

There was a discussion on terminology and it was agreed that Development Boundary Policy be used to describe the defined building envelope. The Core Strategy was now referred to as the Local Plan Part 1.

014/12NPSG Community Engagement Work Package

This subject had been covered in parts earlier in the meeting. In the discussion, the following was covered:

- Letters to be sent to local organizations offering to talk to them about Neighbourhood Planning were outstanding.
- DPC would take a stand at the Denmead Show and promote the NP
- A banner should be used to publicise. Suggestions were a banner across the road in the village centre or a pop up banner.
- Opportunities and options to engage with the community were considered.
- The first stage was to raise awareness. It was too early for a questionnaire and the matter of 'questionnaire fatigue' was raised.
- It was advisable not to miss an event but to have something that could be tailored to that event.
- The need for a slogan was suggested and worthy of further thought
- There was a need to start this work package. Some members of the Forum had volunteered to start this off, but it was felt that more resource would be needed to make progress. This work package should be discussed the next Forum.

The SG would next meet on Thursday 7 June 2012 starting at 7.00pm at The Old School.

There were no other matters to discuss.

Meeting closed at 9.00pm

Copies to Attendees  
Parish and Ward Councillors